

us epa 40 cfr part 166 opp 2004 0038

frl 7371-3

rin 2070-ad36

pesticides - ALLEGED EMERGENCY FOR ANOTHER PESTICIDE --ANOTHER ALLEGED EMERGENCY - AND ANOTHER PESTICIDE UNLEASHED ON THIS WORLD

I THINK THIS IS A DANGEROUS REGULATION. I THINK WE NEED OVERSIGHT OF ALL RELEASES OF PESTICIDES, SINCE RELEASE OF THESE TOXIC CHEMICALS MEANS DEATHS OF HUMANS, WILDLIFE AND BIRDS. I DO NOT GO ALONG WITH ANY ALLEGED "EMERGENCY" AND FIND THAT ALLEGED EMERGENCIES ARE AN EXCUSE FOR PROFITEERING MFRS. TO AVOID REGULATION.

i note this one has gone on for over three years, so it hardly constitutes an "emergency".

Instead this is a failure to manage properly. This whole proposal is absolutely ludicrous.

comment on page 4 - an emergency is once. after that, it is a failure to plan or manage properly. you don't have emergencies continuing for 3 years!!!!!!!!!!

II - purpose - i think the direction of this proposal is to profiteering expediency. I do not think any applicant should be able to come more than once for an emergency. No repeats.

a 2nd or 3rd permit should never be given. it shows the applicant is inept.

comment on page 6 - no applicant should be allowed to repeat.

comment on page 7 - allowing dangerous toxins to be used because of slipshod mgt that fails to foresee and plan for alleged "emergencies" is NOT something a prudent america should ever allow. These guys want to come back and back and back and get endless permits to spray dangerous chemicals - no way.

comment on page 8 - this proposal seems to want to allow emergencies to become permanent conditions. as such, they are not emergencies anymore at all. this is government doublespeak.

comment on page 12 - i do not think economic loss justifies the right to poison the american public for years on end. once is enough.

comment on page 15 - i note that permits have been issued when the request does not even show SIGNIFICANT LOSS. JUST BECAUSE SOMEBODY WANTED A TOXIN AND THE AMERICAN PUBLIC IS HURT BECAUSE OF THIS SLIPSHOD GRANTING OF PERMITS TO POLLUTE.

SOMEHOW RUTGERS AND THE IR-4 PROGRAM IS INVOLVED IN WANTING TO GET THIS APPROVED. I DO NOT THINK RUTGERS IS SERVING THE SAFETY OF THE PUBLIC WITH THIS KIND OF ACTION AT ALL.

b. sachau
15 elm st